1 2 3 4	Robert J. Nelson (CSB No. 132797) rnelson@lchb.com LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BER 275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: (415) 956-1000 Facsimile: (415) 956-1008	RNSTEIN, LLP	
5	Juli E. Farris (CSB No. 141716)		
6	ifarris@kellerrohrback.com KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.		
7	801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 Telephone: (805) 456-1496		
8	Telephone: (805) 456-1496 Facsimile: (805) 456-1497		
9	Class Counsel		
10	A. Barry Cappello (CSB No. 037835) abc@cappellonoel.com		
11	CAPPELLO & NOËL LLP 831 State Street		
12	Santa Barbara, CA 93101-3227 Telephone: (805)564-2444		
13	Facsimile: (805)965-5950		
14 15	Lead Trial Counsel (additional counsel listed at signature)		
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
17	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
	KEITH ANDREWS, an individual, et	G N 0.15 0.1110 PGG YFV	
18	al.,	Case No. 2:15-cv-04113-PSG-JEMx	
19 20	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND	
21	V.	AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF	
22	PLAINS ALL AMERICAN	MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT	
23	PIPELINE, L.P., a Delaware limited	Date: September 20, 2022	
24	partnership, et al.,	Time: 1:30 p.m.	
25	Defendants.	Judge: Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez Courtroom: 6A	
26		Courtiooni. 0/1	
27			
28			

Plaintiffs respectfully submit this supplemental memorandum in support of their motion for final approval of the proposed Settlement. Dkt. 952. As set out in Plaintiffs' initial memorandum, the combined \$230 million, non-reversionary Settlement before the Court is fair, adequate, and reasonable, and should be finally approved pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e). The Settlement was reached on the eve of trial and only after an extraordinary degree of discovery and motion practice, and with the aid of experienced mediators who oversaw several mediation sessions over the course of many years. The proposed Settlement represents a substantial and impressive percentage of the Classes' maximum recoverable damages, and it heads off the unpredictable risks of trial and appeals – risks that are amplified in this case given its complexity, novelty, and scale.

Class members' response to the proposed Settlement indicates that they agree with this assessment. After implementation of a rigorous Class Notice plan that included individual mailed notice to thousands of Fisher and Property Class members, supplemented by extensive published notice, not a single Class member has objected to the proposed Settlement. The absence of objections, after a robust notice program, further supports final approval here.² "It is established that the absence of a large number of objections to a proposed class action settlement raises a strong presumption that the terms of a proposed class settlement action are

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28

free number, and establishing the online claims submission portal.

¹ A single Property Class member objected to the distribution plan because her property was included in the "Moderate" rather than "Heavy" oiling category. However, she does not challenge the Settlement itself or the Property Plan of Distribution generally. The issue she raises relates only to the classification of her individual property, and is based on the mistaken assumption that properties categorized as having sustained "Moderate" rather than "Heavy" oiling are treated differently in their allocations. They are not. These two oiling categories receive the same Fixed Share. This misunderstanding is more fully addressed in Plaintiffs' Supplemental Memorandum in Support of the Plans of Distribution, Section II.A. ² See Dkt. 959, Declaration of Jennifer Keough ("Keough Decl.") ¶ 11-14. The

²⁶ 27

Supplemental Declaration of Jennifer Keough ("Keough Supp. Decl.") describes the Administrator's efforts since July 29, 2022, including following-up on undeliverable direct mail notices, fielding inquiries through the website and toll-

1	favorable to the class members." Nat'l Rural Telecomms. Coop. v. DIRECTV, Inc.,		
2	221 F.R.D. 523, 529 (C.D. Cal. 2004); see also Churchill Vill., LLC v. Gen. Elec.,		
3	361 F.3d 566, 577 (9th Cir. 2004) (affirming district court's approval of settlement		
4	where 45 of 90,000 class members objected to the settlement and 500 class		
5	members opted out); Smith v. Experian Info. Sols., Inc., No. SACV 17-00629-CJC		
6	(AFMx), 2020 WL 6689209, at *4 (C.D. Cal. Nov. 9, 2020). The absence of		
7	objections is especially meaningful given that many Class members have		
8	substantial recoveries at stake and therefore have more incentive to make any		
9	objections known. See Dkts. 951-1 ¶¶ 71, 75, 80; 951-2 ¶ 62; see also 4 Newberg		
10	AND RUBENSTEIN ON CLASS ACTIONS § 13:58 (6th ed.). ³		
11	For the reasons stated above and in their initial memorandum in support of		
12	final settlement approval, Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court grant their		
13	motion for final approval of the proposed Settlement as fair, adequate, and		
14	reasonable.		
15	Dated: September 2, 2022	Respectfully submitted,	
16		By:/s/Robert J. Nelson	
17			
18		Robert J. Nelson (CSB No. 132797) Nimish Desai (CSB No. 244953)	
19		Wilson M. Dunlavey (CSB No. 307719)	
20		Amelia A. Haselkorn (CSB No. 339633)	
21		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP	
22		275 Battery Street, 29th Floor	
23		San Francisco, CA 94111-3339	
		Telephone: (415) 956.1000 Facsimile: (415) 956.1008	
24		, ,	
25		Juli E. Farris (CSB No. 141716)	
26	Thirty-four Class members opted out of the case after the Classes were initially		
27		1 ' . ' CC 1	

³ Thirty-four Class members opted out of the case after the Classes were initially certified, prior to the Settlement. Plaintiffs have attached an amended proposed order that includes this list of opt-outs and references the lack of objections to the Settlement.

1	Matthew J. Preusch (CSB No. 298144)
2	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
3	801 Garden Street, Suite 301 Santa Barbara, CA 93101
	Telephone: (805) 456-1496
4	Facsimile: (805) 456-1497
5	
6	Lynn Lincoln Sarko (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) Gretchen Freeman Cappio (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
7	Michael D. Woerner (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
8	Daniel Mensher (Pro Hac Vice)
9	Laura R. Gerber (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
	KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P. 1201 Third Ave, Suite 3200
10	Seattle, WA 98101
11	Telephone: (206) 623-1900
12	Facsimile: (206) 623-3384
13	Class Counsel
14	
15	A. Barry Cappello (CSB No. 037835) Leila J. Noël (CSB No. 114307)
16	Lawrence J. Conlan (CSB No. 221350)
	David L. Cousineau (CSB No. 298801)
17	CAPPELLO & NOËL LLP
18	831 State Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101-3227
19	Telephone: (805) 564-2444
20	Facsimile: (805) 965-5950
21	Lead Trial Counsel
22	W
23	William M. Audet (CSB No. 117456) Ling Y. Kuang (CSB No. 296873)
24	AUDET & PARTNERS, LLP
25	711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 500
	San Francisco, CA 94102
26	Telephone: (415) 568-2555 Facsimile: (415) 568-2556
27	1 desimile. (413) 300 2330
28	Class Counsel